

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,325	11/20/2003	Martin Schautt		1055	
75	90 11/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Thomas J. Burger			TORRES, MELANIE		
Wood, Herron &	& Evans, L.L.P.				
2700 Carew To	wer		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
441 Vine Street			3683		
Cincinnati, OH 45202-2917			DATE MAILED: 11/29/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m		
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		0/718,325	SCHAUTT ET AL.			
Office Action Summa	ry E	caminer	Art Unit			
		elanie Torres	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	mmunication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) iis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply with imum statutory period will ap for reply will, by statute, caus nonths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be ting in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>16 Septe</u>	<u>ember 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	•	tion is non-final.				
•						
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are objected	_ is/are withdrawn fd.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on						
Applicant may not request that an	•		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	-		•	d).		
•	oled to by the Exam	mer. Note the attached Office	Action of 101111 10-102.	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the lote application from the Inte	e of: nority documents ha nority documents ha opies of the priority o rnational Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in Applicati documents have been receive CT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,325

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19819564 in view of WO 9814715.

which generates an actuation force and acts on at least one friction member (16) in order to press the member to elicit a friction force against a rotational component (12), which is to be braked, of the brake, and a self-boosting device (18, 30) arranged between the friction member and the electrical actuator, the device serving to self-boost the actuation force generated by the electrical actuator, and having at least one wedge (18), which has a wedge surface arranged at a wedge angle (α) and supported on a corresponding counter bearing (30), wherein the electrical actuator displaces the wedge relative to the counter bearing in an actuation direction to actuate the brake. However, DE 19819564 does not teach wherein the wedge angle is constant on a first segment of the wedge surface which is effective at the start of brake actuation and is on the second segment which follows the first segment, smaller than on the first segment. WO 9814715 teaches wherein the wedge angle is constant on a first segment of the wedge surface (586) which is effective at the start of brake actuation and is on the second

Application/Control Number: 10/718,325 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

segment (588) which follows the first segment, smaller than on the first segment. (Page 156, lines 5-29) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the wedge surfaces of WO 9814715 in the invention of DE19819564 in order to provide a self-servo effect without allowing for the self-servo effect to become excessively large.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 10-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 8 and 9 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues wherein the instant rejection does not teach wherein a "greater self-boosting is provided by a second segment (20) which follows the first segment (18), the wedge angle on the second segment being smaller than the first segment."

However, it does not appear that the instant invention differs structurally from that which shown in Dietrich as modified – essentially, a second wedge angle which is smaller than

Application/Control Number: 10/718,325

Art Unit: 3683

a first wedge angle. Therefore, the inventions are presumed to perform the same function and the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,325 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MT November 22, 2004

ROBERT A. SICONOLFI
PATENT EXAMINED